## Faculty Senate O/W Discussion notes for CAC

The O/W proposal (to replace O/W requirement with department communication plans) was discussed D6.3.3(n)dreThahni pnochematD(D6.3.g.(a)( I(a)( h.(e)-6(p)-0.v 0 4T6(c)-43(W)5.6(-r)-2i(e)-6(p)-0.ts)))-3r(e)-4.)-0.T6(c)-(s)-4 and will share them when/if they come. The following comments were made at the meeting:

- 1. Math Department is opposed.
  - a. The O/W system works great.
  - b. It is impossible to translate math outside of the discipline (don't like bullet three).
  - c. Don't want to create/manage another plan.
  - d. We want writing and oral communication covered
- 2. SOM is ready for a new communication plan/like the change.
- 3. Biology has mixed feelings about the plan.
- 4. Other ideas raised:
  - a. Choice is between a university wide requirement or individually tailored department requirements
  - b. Communication should be broader than just O/W.
  - c. Assessment on O/W has not been done/done well. Assessment is becoming more important to the university and accreditation.
  - d. Concerned about establishing criteria and oversight.
  - e. Would like to see example communication plans
- 5. Rainer suggested the following amendments and actions for bringing the motion back next meeting. The majority of faculty senators appeared to be in agreement if these items were addressed:
  - a. Add "oral" and "written" in bullet one ("Explain disciplinary content using a variety of modes of communication, including oral and written communication" or something...)
  - b. Add "as appropriate" to bullet three ("Translate disciplinary content to audiences outside the discipline as appropriate" or something...)
  - c. Provide Example Plans

## Curricular Affairs Committee AY 15/16 Draft Goals

Goal	Action to Date
Resolution of GERC proposals	

## PHC to Bucket Plan Issues to Consider for CAC Meeting September 16, 2015

1. How should UAF distribute the requirements?

a.